

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,456	07/03/2001	Carl Johan Friddle	LEX-0198-USA	8160
24231	7590 02/09/2004	EXAMINER		
LEXICON GENETICS INCORPORATED 8800 TECHNOLOGY FOREST PLACE			HAYES, ROBERT CLINTON	
	LANDS, TX 77381-11		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1647	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/898,456	FRIDDLE ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.	1647		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
THE I - External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 November 2003 . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,5 and 9-11 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,5 and 9-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers		•		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The section is objected to by the Examine The section is objected.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/898,456

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group III (claims 1, 5 & 6) in Paper No. 11/10/03 is acknowledged. Deletion of inventor Erin Aylor due to the restriction requirement is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. For example, the current recitation of "A host cell" encompasses a human organism. It is noted that "gene therapy" is contemplated, for example, on page 15 of the specification. Therefore, it is suggested that amending the claims to "an isolated host cell" should obviate the rejection of claim 11.

3. Claims 1, 5 & 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and/or substantial asserted utility or a well established utility.

Pages 1-2 of the specification disclose that "[t]he novel human proteins (NHPs) described for the first time herein share structural similarity with animal WNT proteins and particularly WNT-8D". WNT proteins are described as being "soluble secreted proteins that have been implicated in intercellular signaling during vertebrate (especially spinal cord) development", and "[a]s such, WNT proteins have been implicated in a number of neural processes and anomalies".

Application/Control Number: 09/898,456

Art Unit: 1647

In contrast, not a single specific and assayable function is disclosed for the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 6 encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 7. Pages 16 & 18 of the specification then disclose that "NHPs... can be prepared for a variety of uses" and used "for treating biological disorders". In contrast, not a single specific disease state that putatively can be treated by using these "novel human proteins (NHPs) described for the first time herein" is disclosed; especially as it relates to the encoded polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 7. Moreover, because many genes are putatively important in "treating biological disorders" or "implicated in intercellular signaling during vertebrate (especially spinal cord) development", or "implicated in a number of neural processes and anomalies", no "specific" utility exists, because the specific biological activity for even the encoded polypeptide depicted as SEQ ID NO: 7 is not known nor specifically described within the specification, and therefore, one cannot reasonably extrapolate what constitutes a specific utility for the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 6.

Secondly, because no specific utility is described or known for the human NHP polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 6, and because Applicants merely generically prophesize that the polynucleotide of the instant invention may be useful "for treating biological disorders", which are further unknown and not disclosed at the time of filing Applicants' invention, the instant invention also has no "substantial utility" because further experimentation is necessary at the time of filing the instant invention to attribute and discover a "real world" utility to the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 6.

Applicant is directed toward the Utility Guidelines in MPEP 2107. See especially MPEP 2107.03.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,456

Art Unit: 1647

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 5 & 9-11 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and/or substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Robert Hayes whose telephone number is (571) 272-0885. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

February 3, 2004

1600 1600